arXiv:1109.1513v1 [math.AG] 7 Sep 2011

Prime spectra of derived quiver representations

Yu-Han Liu and Susan J. Sierra

September 8, 2011

1 Introduction

1.1 Introduction

(1.1.1) For every essentially small tensor triangulated category T, Balmer [2] defined functorially a ringed space $\mathrm{Spec}(T)$, called its prime spectrum. It has been shown in some cases that the construction $T \mapsto \mathrm{Spec}(T)$ does not lose information in the sense that the category T can be reconstructed from $\mathrm{Spec}(T)$. For example, when $T_X = D(X)_{\mathrm{parf}}$ is the tensor triangulated category of perfect complexes on a scheme X, there is a natural morphism

$$X \longrightarrow \mathrm{Spec}(T_X)$$

of ringed spaces. This comparison map is an isomorphism when X is *topologically noetherian*; see [2, Theorem 6.3].

(1.1.2) Not surprisingly, Balmer's construction loses a great deal of information when applied to noncommutative rings, as we show in this note. We give an alternate construction, showing how to construct an algebra from a tensor triangulated category. In some cases, our construction recovers much more information than Balmer's.

(1.1.3) Fix a field k. Let Q or (Q, R) be a quiver *with relations*; for legibility we will omit the relations R from the notation (Q, R) whenever convenient. Let $Q{-}\mathrm{Rep}_k$ be its abelian category of finite dimensional representations over k. Under suitable conditions on R (see (1.2.5)), this category is equipped with a natural *vertex-wise* tensor product which is an exact functor in each factor. Its bounded derived category $D(Q) := D^b(Q-\mathrm{Rep})$ is then a tensor triangulated category when the relations satisfy (1.2.5). We will describe $\mathrm{Spec}(D(Q))$ in the case when Q is finite and ordered (that is, without non-trivial oriented cycles).

It turns out that the ringed space $\mathrm{Spec}(D(Q))$ is not enough to recover Q, even in the case of quivers without relations; see (2.2.7). Moreover, $\mathrm{Spec}(D(Q))$ is not (yet) a quiver, hence we do not expect a comparison map

$$Q \longrightarrow \mathrm{Spec}(D(Q)).$$

We show, however, that one can still recover Q (or, more accurately, its path algebra) from the tensor triangulated category $D(Q)$, at least in the case that Q is finite and ordered.

(1.1.4) These results were motivated in part by the fact that the derived categories of some projective varieties are equivalent to derived categories of quiver representations. Consider the triangulated category $D(\mathbb{P}^m) = D^b(\mathrm{Coh}(\mathbb{P}^m))$. It is known to be equivalent to the category $D(S_m)$, where S_m is the quiver described in [3, Example 5.3 and Example 6.4]: it has $m+1$ ordered vertices and $m+1$ arrows between consecutive vertices, with commutativity relations (see (1.2.5)). Hence we have two different tensor products on the same triangulated category $T = D(\mathbb{P}^m) \cong D(S_m)$: one from the sheaf tensor product on $\mathbb{P}^m$ and the other the quiver tensor product. We will see that the two tensor products give very different spectra.

Acknowledgements. The authors thank Ryan Kinser and Michael Wemyss for their helpful comments. The second author was partially supported by an NSF Postdoctoral Research Fellowship, grant DMS-0802935.

1.2 Conventions and preliminary facts about quivers

(1.2.1) For a field k, $\mathbf{TT}_k$ denotes the category of (essentially small) k-linear tensor triangulated categories. Morphisms in this category are exact functors preserving the tensor products and unit objects.

(1.2.2) If the base field k is fixed, we denote Vect_k simply by Vect, and similarly $\mathbf{TT}_k$ by $\mathbf{TT}$ and $Q-\mathrm{Rep}_k$ by $Q-\mathrm{Rep}$.

(1.2.3) For any collection M of objects in a tensor triangulated category T, $\langle M \rangle$ denotes the smallest *tensor ideal* containing S. (For us, a tensor ideal is a full, thick, triangulated subcategory J of T that satisfies $V \otimes W \in J$ for any $V \in J$ and $W \in T$.) A tensor ideal P is *prime* if $V \otimes W \in P$ implies that either $V \in P$ or $W \in P$.

(1.2.4) For definitions concerning quivers, see [1]. Let $v \in Q_0$. For any quiver representation V we denote by V_v the vector space associated to the vertex v. We take however the following convention that is different from [1]: We identify $Q-$Rep with the category of *right* modules over the path algebra kQ, and take the corresponding convention on multiplication in kQ; for example, $e_m p = p$ for any path *beginning* at the vertex m.

We consider mainly *finite ordered quivers*, which means there are only finitely many vertices and arrows, and there are no oriented cycles. In this case we denote the vertices by integers $n = 1, 2, \ldots$, ordered in such a way that there are non-trivial paths from n to m only when $n < m$.

(1.2.5) We impose the condition on the relations R of (Q, R) that the the subcategory $(Q, R)-$Rep is a monoidal subcategory of $(Q, \emptyset)-$Rep. More explicitly, we require that the unit object U in $(Q, \emptyset)-$Rep (over any field) lies in the subcategory $(Q, R)-$Rep, and $(Q, R)-$Rep is closed under the quiver tensor product.

We call relations involving only non-trivial paths satisfying this condition *tensor relations.*

For example, relations generated by "commutativity relations" are tensor. This means that there are relations $p_i - q_i \in R$, where p_i and q_i are non-trivial paths with the same source and target, such that every relation in R is of the form $r = \sum \lambda_i (p_i - q_i)$ with $\lambda_i \in k$.

(1.2.6) For any quiver Q, a *full subquiver* Q' is a quiver with $Q'_0 \subseteq Q_0$ and $Q'_1 \subseteq Q_1$, that further inherits all arrows between vertices: that is, for any arrow $a \in Q_1$ between vertices $n, m \in Q'_0$, we have $a \in Q'_1$.

(1.2.7) Let Q be a quiver and R a set of (not necessarily tensor) relations, which we assume to be an ideal in the path algebra kQ; let Q' be a full subquiver of Q. Denote by $f : Q' \to Q$ the inclusion map. Then there is an exact *restriction functor*

$$f^* : Q\text{-Rep} \to Q'\text{-Rep}.$$

Denote by $R \cap Q'$ the subset of R consisting of relations all of whose paths are in Q'; we view

$R \cap Q'$ as a set of relations on Q'. Then the image under f^* of the subcategory (Q, R)-Rep is contained in $(Q', R \cap Q')$-Rep, and we have an induced exact functor

$$f^* : (Q, R)\text{-Rep} \longrightarrow (Q', R \cap Q')\text{-Rep}.$$

We will need conditions under which its derived functor is full and essentially surjective. To this end it is convenient to find a right-inverse. Consider the exact *extension by zero* functor

$$f_* : Q'\text{-Rep} \to Q\text{-Rep}.$$

Observe that this functor respects the vertex-wise tensor product, but does not preserve the unit object in general.

Denote by $\bar{R}$ the set of relations on Q' obtained by setting every arrow occurring in R but not in Q' to be zero. Then the image under f_* of $(Q', R \cap Q')$-Rep is contained in (Q, R)-Rep whenever $R \cap Q' = \bar{R}$. (Notice that the containment $\subseteq$ always holds.)

We say that R and Q' are *compatible* if the equality $R \cap Q' = \bar{R}$ holds (equivalently, $R \cap Q \supseteq \bar{R}$), in which case we have

$$\begin{tikzcd}
(Q, R)\text{-Rep} \arrow[r, shift left, "f^*"] & (Q', R')\text{-Rep}, \arrow[l, shift left, "f_*"]
\end{tikzcd}$$

where $R' := R \cap Q' = \bar{R}$. These functors satisfy $f^* f_* = \mathrm{Id}$ on (Q', R')-Rep.

(1.2.8) For example if Q is the quiver

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & 2 \arrow[dr, "b"] & \\
1 \arrow[ur, "a"] \arrow[dr, "c"'] & & 4, \\
 & 3 \arrow[ur, "d"'] &
\end{tikzcd}$$

$R = (ab - cd)$, and Q' is the full subquiver $1 \xrightarrow{a} 2 \xrightarrow{b} 4$, then $\bar{R} = \{ab\}$ and $R \cap Q' = \emptyset$. Hence R and Q' in this example are not compatible.

On the other hand, notice that if R has only non-trivial paths and Q' consists of only one vertex then R and Q' are always compatible.

(1.2.9) Let (Q, R) be a quiver with tensor relations, and Q' a full subquiver compatible with R; let $R' := R \cap Q' = \bar{R}$. We claim that R' also consists of tensor relations. First, f^* sends the unit object U in Q-Rep to the unit object U' in Q'-Rep. By assumption $U \in (Q, R)$-Rep, and so $U' = f^*(U) \in (Q', R')$-Rep.

Next, let $V', W' \in (Q', R')$-Rep; we need to show that $V' \otimes W' \in (Q', R')$-Rep too. Since f^* respects tensor products, we have

$$V' \otimes W' = f^* f_*(V') \otimes f^* f_*(W') = f^*(f_*(V') \otimes f_*(W')).$$

But $f_*(V'), f_*(W') \in (Q, R)$-Rep, and so by assumption $f_*(V') \otimes f_*(W') \in (Q, R)$-Rep, whose image $V' \otimes W'$ under f^* then lies in (Q', R')-Rep, as required.

(1.2.10) Note that the full abelian subcategory (Q, R)-Rep of Q-Rep is in general not closed under extensions. In particular there is an inclusion functor $D(Q, R) \to D(Q)$, but $D(Q, R)$ is not a triangulated subcategory of $D(Q)$. This inclusion is an exact tensor functor (under the condition in (1.2.5)) that is injective on objects, but is not full in general: For example, consider the quiver Q with two vertices and two arrows a and b both going from one vertex to the other. Let $R := (a - b)$. Then both simple objects in Q-Rep lie in (Q, R)-Rep, but most of their extensions do not lie in (Q, R)-Rep.

In general, all the simple objects of Q-Rep lie in (Q, R)-Rep, since all arrows act as the zero map in a simple representation.

(1.2.11) Let (Q, R) be a finite ordered quiver with tensor relations (in particular, by the definition given in (1.2.5), R involves only non-trivial paths); let q be the number of its vertices. Denote its vertices by $1, 2, \ldots, q$ so that there exists a non-trivial path from n to m only when $n < m$. (There is in general more than one such way to label the vertices.)

Let Q_ℓ be the full subquiver with vertices $\ell, \ell + 1, \ldots, q$, for every $\ell \leq q$.

(1.2.11.1) Lemma *Q_ℓ and R are compatible for every ℓ.*

Proof. With ℓ fixed, let $\bar{R}$ be as defined in (1.2.7), then we need to show $\bar{R} \subseteq R \cap Q_\ell$. For any relation $r \in R$ denote by $\bar{r} \in \bar{R}$ the relation obtained by setting every arrow not in Q_ℓ to be zero.

The ideal of relations R is generated by relations in R of the form $r = \sum p_j$ with each p_j a path between two fixed vertices, say from n to m; in particular every arrow occurring in r is between vertices v, w with $n \leq v < w \leq m$.

If $n \geq \ell$ then $r = \bar{r}$ is contained in Q_ℓ, since in this case Q_ℓ contains every arrow occurring in r.

If $n < \ell$ then at least one arrow in each path occurring in r does not lie in Q_ℓ, namely the arrow with source n. In this case $\bar{r} = 0 \in R \cap Q_\ell$ as well. □

With the ordering of the vertices fixed, we have a filtration $... \subset K_{\ell+1} \subset K_\ell \subset K_{\ell-1} \subset \dots U$ of the unit object $U \in Q$-Rep, so that the quotient $K_\ell / K_{\ell+1}$ is the simple object $U(\ell)$ supported at the vertex ℓ.

(1.2.11.2) Corollary *If (Q, R) is a finite ordered quiver with tensor relations, then every K_ℓ, $\ell = 1, 2, \dots, q$, lies in the subcategory (Q, R)-Rep.*

Proof. Notice that if f denotes the inclusion of Q_ℓ in Q, then K_ℓ is the image under f_* of the unit object U_ℓ in Q_ℓ-Rep. Let $R_\ell := R \cap Q_\ell$. Then by (1.2.11.1) and (1.2.9) we know that (Q_ℓ, R_ℓ) is a finite ordered quiver with tensor relations; in particular $U_\ell \in (Q_\ell, R_\ell)$-Rep. By (1.2.11.1) and (1.2.7) we have $K_\ell = f_* U_\ell \in (Q, R)$-Rep. □

2 Balmer's constructions and their applications to quivers

In this section, we recall briefly the constructions in [2, Definition 2.1 and Definition 6.1], and apply them to quiver representations. We see that a great deal of information is lost.

2.1 Spectrum of a tensor triangulated category

(2.1.1) Let T be a tensor triangulated category. Here we recall Balmer's definition of the topological space $\operatorname{Spc} T$.

(2.1.1.1) Definition *The space* $\operatorname{Spc} T$ *is the set of prime $\otimes$-ideals of T. It is given the* Zariski topology*: a closed set is of the form*

$$\mathbf{Z}(S) := \{P \in \operatorname{Spc} T | S \cap P = \emptyset\},$$

where S is a set of objects in T.

(2.1.2) If X is a (topologically) noetherian scheme, by [2, Corollary 5.6], the topological space $\operatorname{Spc}(D(X)_{\mathrm{parf}})$ is homeomorphic to X.

(2.1.3) For any quiver (Q, R) with tensor relations (see (1.2.5)) let $D(Q, R)$, and often just $D(Q)$, be the bounded derived category of (Q, R)-Rep, equipped with the vertex-wise tensor product.

We now calculate $\operatorname{Spc} D(Q)$ for a finite ordered quiver Q with tensor relations, and show that the functor $Q \mapsto \operatorname{Spc} D(Q)$ loses a great deal of information; in fact, it retains merely the number of vertices of Q!

(2.1.4) Recall that every object V in $D(Q)$ can be represented by a bounded complex of objects in $Q-$Rep. In particular its cohomology $H(V) = \bigoplus H^i(V)$ is again an object in $Q-$Rep. We call the graded vector space $H(V)_n$ *the cohomology of* V *at the vertex* n.

(2.1.4.1) Lemma *Let Q be a finite ordered quiver with tensor relations. For any object V in $D(Q)$, we have $\langle V \rangle = \langle U(n) \,|\, H(V)_n \neq 0 \rangle$, where $U(n)$ is the simple representation corresponding to the vertex n.*

Proof. The unit object is given by the representation U with $U_n \cong k$ at each vertex n and identity maps between them for all arrows. Since Q is finite and ordered, U admits a filtration whose successive quotients are the simple representations $U(n)$; see (1.2.11).

Tensoring this filtration with V we see that $\langle V \rangle$ contains the object $V(n) = V \otimes U(n)$ with $V(n)_n = V_n$ and $V(n)_m = 0$ for $m \neq n$; all the arrows in the representation $V(n)$ are the zero map. On the other hand, V is an extension of the $V(n)$'s; thus $V \in \langle V(n) \rangle$. We have obtained that $\langle V \rangle = \langle V(n) \,|\, n = 1, 2, \ldots \rangle$.

The object $V(n) \in \langle V \rangle$ is a complex of vector spaces, and the construction in [4, Chapter III, § 1.4 Proposition] shows that it is isomorphic in $D(Q)$ to the complex $H(V)_n$ of vector spaces with zero differentials. Since $\langle V \rangle$ is a thick triangulated subcategory, it contains the simple representation $U(n)$ whenever $H(V)_n \neq 0$. □

(2.1.4.2) Corollary *If V can be represented by a complex with non-zero cohomology at every vertex, then $\langle V \rangle = D(Q)$ is the unit ideal.* □

(2.1.5) Consider the evaluation functor $V \mapsto V(n) = V \otimes U(n)$ from $Q-$Rep to the category Vect. This functor is exact and preserves the tensor products as well as the unit object. Its derived functor from $D(Q)$ to $D^b(\text{Vect}) \cong \oplus \text{Vect}[j]$ then sends V to its cohomology $H(V)_n$ at n. The kernel of this derived functor is a tensor ideal, which we denote by P_n. It is the full subcategory of $D(Q)$ consisting of objects V with $H(V)_n = 0$.

(2.1.5.1) Theorem *Let Q be a finite ordered quiver with tensor relations. Then* $\operatorname{Spc} D(Q)$ *is the discrete space* $\{P_n \mid n \in Q_0\}$.

Proof. Clearly $P_n \supseteq \langle U(m) | m \neq n \rangle$. Suppose that $V \notin P_n$. Then $H(V)_n \neq 0$, hence $V \oplus \bigoplus_{m \neq n} U(m)$ is an object in $\langle P_n, V \rangle$ which has non-zero cohomology at every vertex. By (2.1.4.2) we have $\langle P_n, V \rangle = D(Q)$. Thus P_n is maximal, and by [2, Proposition 2.3(c)] P_n is prime.

Let I be an ideal that is not contained in any P_n. Then for every n we can find $V^n \in I$ with $H(V^n)_n \neq 0$. This implies that $\oplus V^n \in I$ has non-zero cohomology at every vertex, and I must then be the unit ideal. Thus the P_n are precisely the maximal ideals of $D(Q)$.

Let P be a prime ideal in $D(Q)$. By the previous paragraph it is contained in P_n for some n, in particular $U(n) \notin P$. But $U(m) \otimes U(n) = 0 \in P$ whenever $m \neq n$, hence $U(m) \in P$ for every $m \neq n$ since P is prime. That is, $P = P_n$. $\square$

(2.1.6) Let T be the triangulated category $D^b(\mathbb{P}^m)$ which by (1.1.4) is equivalent to $D^b(S_m)$. By [2, Corollary 5.6] the spectrum $\operatorname{Spc}(T, \otimes_{\mathbb{P}^m})$ under the sheaf tensor product is homeomorphic to $\mathbb{P}^m$, while by (2.1.5.1) above $\operatorname{Spc}(T, \otimes_{S_m})$ is $m+1$ discrete points. The same phenomenon happens for example for Grassmannians by [5], and more generally for varieties admitting a full exceptional set of objects.

2.2 The structure sheaf

(2.2.1) Let T be a triangulated tensor category. According to [2, Definition 2.1] the space $\operatorname{Spc}(T)$ comes with a map $\operatorname{supp}(-)$ from the objects of T to closed subsets of $\operatorname{Spc}(T)$ associating to every object V in T the set of prime ideals *not* containing V.

By comparing this with (2.1.5.1) we see that for any object V of $D(Q)$ we have

$$\operatorname{supp}(V) = \{P_n \mid H(V)_n \neq 0\}.$$

(2.2.2) Recall from [2, Definition 6.1] the definition of the structure sheaf on $\operatorname{Spc}(T)$: For any open subset $W \subseteq \operatorname{Spc}(T)$, denote by Z its complement, and T_Z the full triangulated subcategory of T consisting of objects a with $\operatorname{supp}(a)$ contained in Z. Then the localization functor [6] $T \to T/T_Z$ is a tensor functor between tensor triangulated categories. Denote still by U the image of the unit object $U \in T$ in T/T_Z, then $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Spec}(T)}$ is by definition the sheafification of the presheaf of rings

$$W \mapsto \mathrm{End}_{T/T_Z}(U). \tag{2.2.2.$*$}$$

The *spectrum* of a tensor triangulated category T is the pair $\mathrm{Spec}\, T := (\mathrm{Spc}\, T, \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Spec}(T)})$.

(2.2.3) If X is a topologically noetherian scheme, then $\mathrm{Spec}(D(X)_{\mathrm{parf}}) \cong X$ as locally ringed spaces, by [2, Theorem 6.3].

(2.2.4) We now consider the same construction for $T = D(Q)$.

(2.2.4.1) Theorem *Let Q be a finite ordered quiver with tensor relations. Then $\mathcal{O}_Q := \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Spec}(D(Q))}$ is the constant sheaf of algebras k. That is, for any $W \subseteq \mathrm{Spc}(D(Q)) = Q_0$, we have $\mathcal{O}_Q(W) \cong k^{\oplus W}$.*

Proof. Since $\mathrm{Spc}(D(Q))$ is a discrete topological space, it suffices to show that $\mathcal{O}_Q(\{v\}) \cong k$ on the open set $\{v\}$ consisting of one point. Let $Z := \mathrm{Spc}(D(Q)) - \{v\}$ be the complement of $\{v\}$ and Q' the full subquiver with only one vertex v. Denote by $f : Q' \to Q$ the inclusion. By identifying $D(Q')$ with $D^b(\mathrm{Vect})$ we see that f^* is exactly the functor $V \mapsto H(V)_v$ on $D(Q)$. In particular we have $T_Z = \ker(f^*)$ and an induced functor

$$\bar{f}^* : D(Q)/T_Z = D(Q)/\ker(f^*) \longrightarrow D(Q').$$

Since Q' is compatible with tensor relations (see (1.2.8)), we may apply the next proposition to conclude the proof. ☐

(2.2.4.2) Proposition *Let (Q, R) be a finite ordered quiver with relations. Let $f : Q' \to Q$ be the inclusion of a full subquiver compatible with the relations; let $R' := R \cap Q'$ as in (1.2.7). Then the derived restriction functor $f^* : D(Q) \to D(Q')$ induces an equivalence*

$$\bar{f}^* : \overline{T} := D(Q)/\ker(f^*) \longrightarrow D(Q').$$

Proof. We claim that f^* is essentially surjective and full: Indeed, the derived functor of the extension by zero exact functor

$$f_* : Q'\text{−Rep} \to Q\text{−Rep}$$

is a right inverse of f^* on both objects and morphisms (see (1.2.7)). It follows that $\bar{f}^*$ is also essentially surjective and full, and so it remains to show that it is faithful.

Let $X, Y \in \mathrm{Ob}(D(Q)) = \mathrm{Ob}(\overline{T})$. An element of $\mathrm{Hom}_{\overline{T}}(X, Y)$ is represented by a diagram $X \overset{s}{\leftarrow} V \overset{g}{\rightarrow} Y$ in T, where s is such that f^*s is an isomorphism. This is thought of as a "morphism" $gs^{-1} : X \to Y$.

Now let $X \overset{s}{\leftarrow} V \overset{g}{\rightarrow} Y$ represent a morphism in $\overline{T}$ that maps to zero under $\bar{f}^*$. In particular $g : V \to Y$ is a morphism in $D(Q)$ such that $f^*g = 0$. By applying f^* to the distinguished triangle

$$V \xrightarrow{g} Y \xrightarrow{h} \mathrm{cone}(g)$$

we see that this implies f^*h has a left inverse $m : f^*\mathrm{cone}(g) \to f^*Y$ in $D(Q')$.

Let $\tilde{m} : \mathrm{cone}(g) \to Y$ be in $D(Q)$ such that $f^*\tilde{m}$ is equal to m; such an $\tilde{m}$ exists since f^* is full, as observed above.

Now $f^*(\tilde{m} \circ h) = m \circ f^*h$ is an isomorphism in $D(Q')$. Therefore $\mathrm{cone}(\tilde{m} \circ h)$ lies in $\ker(f^*)$ and this means that $\tilde{m} \circ h$ also becomes an isomorphism in $\overline{T}$. Hence g maps to zero in $\overline{T}$ since $(\tilde{m} \circ h) \circ g$ maps to zero in $\overline{T}$. So $X \overset{s}{\leftarrow} V \overset{g}{\rightarrow} Y$ represents the zero morphism in $\overline{T}$. □

(2.2.5) The presheaf (2.2.2.$*$) formally contains more information than its sheafification $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Spec}(T)}$. In the case of a quiver, this difference is significant. Let v, w be vertices in a quiver Q without relations, and let $W := \{v, w\}$ considered as an open subset of $\mathrm{Spec}(D(Q))$; denote by Q_W the full subquiver of Q consisting of vertices v and w.

Then $\mathrm{End}_{D(Q_W)}(U)$ is either k or $k \oplus k$, depending on whether there are arrows between v and w. Thus the presheaf (2.2.2.$*$) recovers the underlying graph of the quiver, while the structure sheaf recovers only the number of vertices.

(2.2.6) *Prime ideals in the path algebra:* By [1, page 53] we know that the path algebra kQ modulo its radical (which is the ideal generated by all the non-trivial paths) is isomorphic to the product of $\#Q_0$ copies of k. In particular we see that the two-sided prime ideals in kQ are naturally in bijection with prime ideals in $D(Q)$. That is, the global sections of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Spec}(D(Q))}$ are naturally isomorphic to $kQ/J(kQ)$, and, since the map $kQ \to kQ/J(kQ)$ has a right inverse, to a subalgebra of kQ.

(2.2.7) Let Q_i, $i \geq 1$, be the i-Kronecker quiver: the quiver (without relations) with two vertices and i arrows all going from one vertex to the other. There are obvious morphisms

$Q_i \to Q_j$ with $i < j$, inducing functors $D(Q_j) \to D(Q_i)$ of tensor triangulated categories. These in turn induce morphisms

$$\mathrm{Spec}(D(Q_i)) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Spec}(D(Q_j))$$

of ringed spaces. From (2.1.5.1) we see easily that these are isomorphisms, in particular we cannot recover quivers from their prime spectra. Note that the presheaves (2.2.2.$*$) are isomorphic for all Q_i as well.

3 Functors of points

(3.1.1) Let $T, S \in \mathbf{TT}$. Define

$$T(S) := (\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{TT}}(T,S)/\cong) = (\mathrm{Fun}^{\otimes,1}(T,S)/\cong).$$

Elements in $T(S)$ will be called *S-valued points in T*. We then have a set-valued covariant functor $T(-)$ on $\mathbf{TT}$ for every $T \in \mathbf{TT}$.

(3.1.2) If R is a commutative k-algebra, we define $T(R) := T(D^b(R - \mathrm{Mod}))$. Elements in $T(R)$ will be called *R-rational points in T*.

(3.1.3) Let Q be a finite ordered quiver with tensor relations (1.2.5); we will compute $D(Q)(k)$. We identify the tensor triangulated category $D^b(k) = D^b(\mathrm{Vect})$ with $\oplus\mathrm{Vect}[j]$ by taking cohomology of complexes of vector spaces.

The functors $V \mapsto H(V)_n$ in (2.1.5) are in $D(Q)(k)$, and conversely:

(3.1.3.1) Proposition $D(Q)(k) = \{(V \mapsto H(V)_n) \mid n \in Q_0\}$.

Proof. Let $F \in D(Q)(k)$. Consider the filtration $\ldots \subset K_{n+1} \subset K_n \subset \ldots \subset U$ of the unit object in $D(Q)$ such that the quotient K_n/K_{n+1} is the simple object $U(n)$ supported at the vertex n. By (1.2.11.2), each K_n lies in $D(Q) = D(Q, R)$.

Since F preserves the unit objects, $F(U)$ is isomorphic to k viewed as a graded vector space placed at degree 0. Hence on applying F to the distinguished triangle

$$K_{n+1} \xrightarrow{f_{n+1}} U \xrightarrow{g_{n+1}} U/K_{n+1},$$

we see that for any fixed vertex n exactly one of $F(f_{n+1})$ and $F(g_{n+1})$ is equal to 0, while the other one admits a one-sided inverse.

Note that for n sufficiently large we have $F(f_{n+1}) = 0$. Moreover, if $F(f_{n+1}) = 0$ then $F(f_{n+2}) = 0$: Indeed, suppose on the contrary that $F(f_{n+1}) = 0$ but $F(f_{n+2}) \neq 0$, then we get a contradiction by applying F to the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
K_{n+2} \arrow[r, "f_{n+2}"] \arrow[d] & U \arrow[d, equal] \\
K_{n+1} \arrow[r, "f_{n+1}"] & U.
\end{tikzcd}$$

So from now on let n be minimal so that $F(f_{n+1}) = 0$. Consider the following diagram of distinguished triangles, where by assumption $F(f_n)$ is non-zero and admits a right inverse m:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
K_{n+1} \arrow[r, "f_{n+1}"] \arrow[d] & U \arrow[r] \arrow[d, equal] & U/K_{n+1} \arrow[d] & & & F(K_{n+1}) \arrow[r, "0"] \arrow[d] & F(U) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, equal] & F(U/K_{n+1}) \arrow[d] \\
K_n \arrow[r, "f_n"] \arrow[d, "q"'] & U \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & U/K_n \arrow[d] & {} \arrow[r, dotted, "F"] & {} & F(K_n) \arrow[r, "F(f_n)"] \arrow[d, "F(q)"'] & F(U) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] \arrow[l, bend left, "m"] & F(U/K_n) \arrow[d] \\
U(n) \arrow[r] & 0 \arrow[r] & U(n)[1] & & & F(U(n)) \arrow[r] & 0 \arrow[r] & F(U(n))[1].
\end{tikzcd}$$

The map $F(q) \circ m : F(U) \to F(U(n))$ must be non-zero, since otherwise m lifts to a non-zero map from $F(U)$ to $F(K_{n+1})$, giving a right inverse to $F(f_{n+1})$, which is zero by assumption.

This says that $F(U(n))$ contains $F(U) = k$ as a direct summand, and when viewed as an object in $\oplus\mathrm{Vect}[j]$, $F(U(n))$ must be isomorphic to k since $U(n) \otimes U(n) = U(n)$.

The two functors $V \mapsto F(V) = F(U \otimes V)$ and $V \mapsto F(U(n) \otimes V) = F(V_n)$ are then isomorphic:

$$F(V) = F(U \otimes V) = k \otimes F(V) = F(U(n)) \otimes F(V) = F(U(n) \otimes V) = F(V_n).$$

Now the full subcategory of $D(Q)$ consisting of objects of the form $U(n) \otimes V$ is isomorphic to $D^b(k)$, and any exact functor preserving tensor products and the unit objects from $D^b(k)$ to itself is the identity. Hence on identifying $D^b(k)$ with $\oplus\mathrm{Vect}[j]$ we see that the functor F is isomorphic to $V \mapsto H(V)_n$. $\square$

(3.1.4) To summarize, we have established bijections for any finite ordered quiver Q with tensor relations:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
Q_0 \arrow[r] & D(Q)(k) \arrow[r] & \mathrm{Spc}(D(Q)) \\
n \arrow[r, mapsto] & (V \mapsto H(V)_n) & \\
 & F \arrow[r, mapsto] & \ker F.
\end{tikzcd}$$

(3.1.5) Let $F_n \in D(Q)(k)$ be the functor $V \mapsto H(V)_n$ corresponding to the vertex n. We now give a useful formula for the functors F_n. Denote by M_n the indecomposable projective module of the path algebra kQ of Q with simple quotient $U(n)$, namely, it is the *right* submodule of kQ generated by the trivial path e_n at the vertex n: $M_n = e_n(kQ)$ is the k-vector space spanned by all paths starting at the vertex n; see [1, Page 59]. Then

$$kQ \cong \bigoplus_n M_n.$$

Under the usual identification of $Q-$Rep with mod$-kQ$, we may view M_n as an object in the former abelian category.

(3.1.5.1) Lemma *We have* $F_n(-) = R\mathrm{Hom}(M_n, -)$ *on* $D(Q)$.

Proof. This is well-known, and follows for example from [1, Exercise III.10]. □

4 Algebras associated to a tensor triangulated category

4.1 Defining the algebra

(4.1.1) Let $S, T \in \mathbf{TT}$. For any $F, G \in T(S)$ denote by $\mathrm{Hom}(F, G)$ the set of natural transformations. Since T is a k-linear category, this is a k-vector space. Let U be the unit object of T.

On the k-vector space

$$A(T,S) := \prod_{F,G\in T(S)} \mathrm{Hom}(F,G)$$

we then have a partially defined product by composition, which gives an associative, in general non-commutative algebra by defining the product between elements which are not composable to be zero. We are most interested in the special case:

$$A(T) := A(T, D^b(k)) := \prod_{F,G\in T(k)} \mathrm{Hom}(F,G).$$

(4.1.2) The functor $A(\_\_,\_\_)$ is contravariant in its first argument and covariant in its second.

4.2 Recovering finite ordered quivers

(4.2.1) We now show that a finite ordered quiver with tensor relations (1.2.5) can be essentially recovered from its tensor triangulated category of representations. Recall that for a quiver Q we denote by $D(Q)$ the bounded derived tensor category of finite dimensional representations of Q over the fixed field k.

(4.2.1.1) Theorem *Let (Q,R) be a finite ordered quiver with tensor relations. The algebra $kQ/(R)$ of (Q,R) over k is naturally isomorphic to $A(D(Q))$.*

Proof. Recall that we have a bijection (see (3.1.4)) from the set of vertices Q_0 to $T(k)$ given by $n \mapsto (F_n : V \mapsto H(V)_n)$.

Let $\Lambda := kQ/(R)$. The set of paths from vertex n to m is $e_n\Lambda e_m$ (where e_n is the trivial path at n), and we first define a map

$$\phi : e_n\Lambda e_m \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}(F_n, F_m)$$

for every pair of vertices n,m. Let $p \in e_n\Lambda e_m$ be a path from n to m, and $V \in D(Q)$, then we define

$$\phi(p)_V : F_n(V) \to F_m(V)$$

to be the morphism $H(V)(p) : H(V)_n \to H(V)_m$ (where the cohomology $H(V)$ is a complex of Q-representations). This is well-defined since $H(V)(r) = 0$ for every relation r. Note that this is defined without assumptions on Q or R.

Now by the Yoneda lemma on the category $D(Q)$, remembering (3.1.5.1) that F_n is represented by $M_n = e_n\Lambda$, we have

$$\mathrm{Hom}(F_n, F_m) \cong F_m(M_n) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_\Lambda(M_m, M_n),$$

sending $\rho : F_n \to F_m$ to $\rho_{M_n}(e_n)$, where $\rho_{M_n} : (F_n(M_n) = ke_n) \to F_m(M_n)$.

On the other hand we have a natural isomorphism

$$\psi : \mathrm{Hom}_\Lambda(M_m, M_n) \longrightarrow e_n\Lambda e_m,$$

sending $f : M_m \to M_n$ to $f(e_m) \in M_n$; see (3.1.5.1). It is now easy to show that ψ is the inverse of ϕ:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
e_n\Lambda e_m \arrow[r, "\phi"] & \mathrm{Hom}(F_n, F_m) \arrow[r] & F_m(M_n) \arrow[r] & \mathrm{Hom}(M_m, M_n) \arrow[r, "\psi"] & e_n\Lambda e_m \\
p \arrow[r, mapsto] & (V \mapsto \phi(p)_V) \arrow[r, mapsto] & \phi(p)_{M_n}(\mathrm{Id}_{M_n}) \arrow[r, mapsto] & (e_m q \mapsto p e_m q) \arrow[r, mapsto] & p e_m = p.
\end{tikzcd}$$

The naturality in the statement means the following. Let (Q, R) and (Q', R') be two quivers with tensor relations; let $\Lambda := kQ/(R)$ and let $\Lambda' := kQ'/(R')$. Suppose there is a k-algebra homomorphism $\Lambda \to \Lambda'$. We obtain an induced restriction functor $D(Q', R') \to D(Q, R)$ and a homomorphism $A(D(Q, R)) \to A(D(Q', R'))$.

Then these homomorphisms form a commutative square with the isomorphisms $\Lambda \cong A(D(Q))$ and $\Lambda' \cong A(D(Q'))$ above. We leave the details to the reader. $\square$

Thus a finite, ordered quiver Q with tensor relations (or at least its path algebra) can be recovered from its tensor triangulated category $D(Q)$ of representations. By [1, Chapter III, Theorem 1.9(c)(d)], the quiver Q can be recovered from its path algebra if the ideal generated by its relations R lies between J^2 and J^t for some integer t, where J is the radical of kQ.

4.3 Comparing the two constructions

(4.3.1) For a tensor triangulated category T, the structure sheaf $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Spec}\,T}$ and the algebra $A(T)$ are naturally related. This follows from:

(4.3.1.1) Proposition *Let T, S be tensor triangulated categories, and let U be the unit object of T. Then $A(T, S)$ is naturally an $\mathrm{End}_T(U)$-algebra.*

Proof. Let $\phi \in \mathrm{End}_T(U)$. Then ϕ induces a natural transformation $\Phi : \mathrm{Id}_T \to \mathrm{Id}_T$, where $\Phi_M : M \to M$ is given by

$$M \xrightarrow{\cong} M \otimes U \xrightarrow{1\otimes\phi} M \otimes U \xrightarrow{\cong} M.$$

For every $F \in T(k)$, this then induces a natural transformation $F(\Phi) : F \to F$, defined by

$$F(\Phi)_M = F(\Phi_M) : FM \to FM.$$

The map

$$\begin{aligned} z : \mathrm{End}_T(U) &\to A(T, S) \\ \phi &\mapsto (F(\Phi))_F \end{aligned}$$

is easily seen to be a ring homomorphism.

Let $F, G \in T(S)$ and let $\beta \in \mathrm{Hom}(F, G)$. Let $M \in T$. Then by naturality of β, the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd} FM \arrow[r, "F(\Phi_M)"] \arrow[d, "\beta_M"'] & FM \arrow[d, "\beta_M"] \\ GM \arrow[r, "G(\Phi_M)"'] & GM \end{tikzcd}$$

commutes. That is, $G(\Phi) \circ \beta = \beta \circ F(\Phi)$ in $A(T, S)$, and $z(\phi) \in Z(A(T))$. ☐

(4.3.2) From the previous result, we see that for any tensor triangulated category T, there are ring homomorphisms

$$\begin{tikzcd} \mathrm{End}_T(U) \arrow[r, "\alpha"] \arrow[dr, "z"'] & \Gamma(\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Spec}\,T}) \\ & A(T), \end{tikzcd}$$

where α is induced from the canonical map from a presheaf to the associated sheaf. In general, there does not seem to be any reason why there should be a vertical map (in either direction) completing the triangle. However, we have:

(4.3.2.1) Proposition *Let Q be a finite ordered quiver with tensor relations and $T = D(Q)$. Then there are vertical maps so that the diagram*

$$\begin{tikzcd} \mathrm{End}_T(U) \arrow[r, "\alpha"] \arrow[dr, "z"'] & \Gamma(\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Spec}\,T}) \arrow[d, shift left] \\ & A(T) \arrow[u, shift left] \end{tikzcd}$$

commutes; further $\mathrm{End}_T(U) \cong Z(A(T))$.

Proof. It is easy to see that $\mathrm{End}_T(U) \cong k^{\pi_0(Q)}$ is the center of the path algebra kQ; here π_0 denotes the set of equivalence classes of vertices in Q generated by the existence of arrows between them. The vertical maps come from (2.2.6). $\square$

(4.3.3) We note that for tensor triangulated categories $T = D^b(X)$ induced from a scheme X, the algebra $A(T)$ can be quite unpleasant, and does not necessarily recover X. For example, let k be an algebraically closed field, and let $T := D^b(\mathbb{P}^1_k)$. Then $T(k) = \mathbb{P}^1(k)$; that is, the only tensor functors from T to $D^b(k)$ are given by restriction to a k-point. The algebra $A(T)$ is then easily seen to be

$$A(T) = \prod_{p \in \mathbb{P}^1(k)} k_p,$$

the direct product of the skyscraper sheaves. Indeed, it suffices to show that if x, y are in $\mathbb{P}^1(k)$, then there are no non-zero natural transformations between the corresponding functors x^* and y^*.

Suppose on the contrary that ϕ is a non-zero natural transformation from x^* to y^*. Then there is a coherent sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ such that both fibres $x^*\mathcal{F}$ and $y^*\mathcal{F}$ are non-zero, and $\phi_{\mathcal{F}}$ is a non-zero map between them. In fact since $D^b(\mathbb{P}^1)$ is generated by $\mathcal{O}$ and $\mathcal{O}(1)$, we may assume that $\mathcal{F}$ is a line bundle. In this case the non-zero map $\phi_{\mathcal{F}}$ must be an isomorphism between the fibres $x^*\mathcal{F}$ and $y^*\mathcal{F}$.

Now let $\mathcal{F}' := y_*y^*\mathcal{F}$. Then we have $x^*\mathcal{F}' = 0$ but $y^*\mathcal{F} \to y^*\mathcal{F}' = y^*y_*y^*\mathcal{F}$ is a non-zero map. Hence we obtain a commutative diagram, by the naturality of ϕ:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
x^*\mathcal{F} \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\phi_{\mathcal{F}}"', "\cong"] & x^*\mathcal{F}' = 0 \arrow[d, "\phi_{\mathcal{F}'}"'] \\
y^*\mathcal{F} \arrow[r, "\neq 0"] & y^*\mathcal{F}'.
\end{tikzcd}$$

But this implies that $\phi_{\mathcal{F}} = 0$, a contradiction.

Thus for triangulated tensor categories coming from algebraic geometry, Balmer's construction is much better than ours. It would be interesting to find a functorial construction that combines the good features of both and to prove a reconstruction theorem that generalizes simultaneously (4.2.1.1) and [2].

References

[1] Maurice Auslander, Idun Reiten, and Sverre O. Smalø. *Representation theory of Artin algebras*, volume 36 of *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995.

[2] Paul Balmer. The spectrum of prime ideals in tensor triangulated categories. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 588:149–168, 2005.

[3] A. I. Bondal. Representations of associative algebras and coherent sheaves. *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat.*, 53(1):25–44, 1989.

[4] Sergei I. Gelfand and Yuri I. Manin. *Methods of homological algebra*. Springer Monographs in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, second edition, 2003.

[5] M. M. Kapranov. On the derived categories of coherent sheaves on some homogeneous spaces. *Invent. Math.*, 92(3):479–508, 1988.

[6] Jean-Louis Verdier. Des catégories dérivées des catégories abéliennes. *Astérisque*, (239):xii+253 pp. (1997), 1996.